806 Douglas Rd. t: 305.569.1333 Suite 300 f: 305.569.1334 Coral Gables, FL 33134 www.perkinswill.com

PERKINS + WILL

Meeting Minutes

By:	Perkins+Will - GK	Date:	11/30/2012		
Meeting Date:	10/03/2012	Project Name:	BT-857 FIU-Campus Master Plan Update 2010-2020		
Meeting Time:	8:00am-10:00am	Project No.:	810369.000		
Meeting Location:	FIU CSC Rm 1123	Attendees:	Focus Group Members: -Kenneth Jessell, Steering		
Next Meeting Date:	December 3, 2012 4:00pm-6:00pm		Committee Advocate -John Stuart (Architecture) -Adam Drisin (Urban Design) -Roberto Rovira (Landscape Design) -Mark Salemi (Landscape Maintenance) -Oscar Irigoyen (Construction/Architecture) -Rick Torres (Public Safety) -Chris Cabezas (FIU Landscape Arch. Student) -Bob Griffith, FIU -Stuart Grant, FIU -Pat Bosch, P+W -Leo Alvarez, P+W -Geoff Boyd, P+W -Krisan Osterby, P+W -Maria Pizano, P+W -Mike Kroll, ML		

The attached are meeting notes for Work Session #2

FOCUS GROUP 3 - Urban, Architectural & Landscape Design Guidelines



Item No.	Description										
2.1	Data/Schedule/Meetings confirmation: additional data requests for Inventory and Analysis report, project schedule, and upcoming meetings were confirmed with committee.										
2.2	Homework Assignment Summary: STRENGTHS										
	 Strong new architecture complements existing historic structures to create an exciting campus assemblage. 										
	Increased density at MMC										
	Campus as Sculpture Garden / Museum										
	Growing residential component at MMC and BBC										
	Creating mixed-use elements within buildings										
	Sports events bring increasing numbers to our campus										
	Medicine is poised to become another such magnet										
	Successful outdoor spaces are being developed across all FIU campuses										
	FIU campus potential as an outdoor classroom (MMC & BBC)										
	Extraordinary site at BBC										
2.3	Homework Assignment Summary: WEAKNESSES										
	 Traffic congestion and parking challenges are growing steadily 										
	Several plazas are underutilized and don't respond to the their environments										
	Lack of clarity in wayfinding, signage and building labeling										
	No single formal space that is "the identity space" – associated with university										
	 Chaotic use of landscape architecture elements (materials, details, lighting, signage, etc) 										
	 Routes through campus are often indirect and can sometimes be challenging for the handicapped 										
	Pedestrian linkages are frequently unprotected from sun and rain										
	Walkways are often indirect and sometimes insufficiently wide for the traffic										
	Pedestrian vs. vehicular conflict with campus loop road										
	 The physical relationship of the campus precinct to its surrounding can be enhanced (edges & economic development) 										
	 The Nature preserve should be embraced as a teaching facility, cleaned up, and have safe pathways built and lighted. 										
	BBC approach to campus (front door) is through a sea of parking										
	Lack of density at BBC										

Item No.	Description										
	Project process										
2.4	Homework Assignment Summary: BEST PRACTICES										
	Community Context										
	 NYU, University of Pennsylvania, Parsons, Harvard, University of Chicago, MIT 										
	Architectural language and scale										
	Harvard, MIT, University of Cincinnati, Penn										
	Courtyards										
	 Harvard, MIT, Princeton, Pratt, UVA 										
	Covered walkways										
	 Universidad Central de Venezuela, Florida Southern College, UVA, Taliesin West, USF - MLK Plaza 										
	Memorable Campus Landscapes										
	 Tsinghua University, Stanford, Vassar, Berkeley, Gordon-College- Amphitheater, University-of-Wollongong, BBC-Waterfront, Cornell, UVA 										
	Sculpture gardens and botanical gardens										
2.5	URBAN DESIGN GOAL:										
	Florida International University shall create high quality, memorable campus environments suited to education and a sense of collegiality, comprising a compact formal development pattern within a rich outdoor subtropical environment.										
	1.1 Regulating Axis										
	1.2 Campus Spaces										
	1.3 Campus Streets										
	1.4 Campus Edges										
	1.5 Functional Linkages										
2.6	Campus Analysis: MMC/BBC/EC										
	Context; County and City zoning and land use.										
	Neighborhood stabilization program (HUD)										
	Regulating Axis										
	Vehicular Circulation										

Descripti	on										
•	Space Uses										
•	Vegetation; Tree Canopy and Collections										
•	Campus Spaces: Courtyards, Quads, Recreation, Special Purpose Landscape										
•	Soils (USDA survey)										
•	Art and Sculpture Edges: active and passive										
•	Edges: active and passive										
Physica •	Regulating Axes (Visual corridors): Develop, enhance and preserve existing and proposed visual corridors on campus. All future development shall place buildings and landscape features so as to preserve and reinforce the visual corridors significance.										
Avenue	s- Assessment:										
•	Prioritize the regulating axis as more than just a line of the plan										
•	Avenues should become "second nature" as an identifiable space associated with FIU										
•	Develop a framework for types of axes (series of spaces & unified space)										
•	Prioritize opportunities for improvements										
•	Future buildings to prioritize program that enhances the Avenues – creates activity										
Physica •	I Design : Walkability Provide strategies to increase the amount of shaded walkways and outdoor gathering spaces through landscaping, covered connectors and outdoor furnishings.										
Walkwa	ys – Assessment:										
•	Identify type based on place (one type does not fit all)										
•	Develop a framework for incremental improvements										
•	Incorporate strategy into Campus Design Guidelines										
•	Prioritize implementation as part of Axis development										
Campus	Spaces – Assessment:										
•	Develop spaces for a variety of uses										
•	Maintain flexibility										
	Physical Avenue: Physical Walkway										

Item No. Description

- Spaces should prioritize / relate to the Avenues
- Buildings relationship to the space is critical in creating activity
- Clearly define circulation network that complements space program
- Clearly defined edges creates an enhanced space

D	NAME	TYPE	CAMPUS		s	ZE			TYPE	DEFINED	FLEXIBLE	PRIMARY	SECONDARY	ATTRIBUTES
W1		Courtyard	MAMC	+/-	3,900		0.1	ac	Formal	Yes		Gathering		Sparse
M 2	Business	Quad	MAMC	+/-	143,000	sf	3.3	ac	Formal	Yes	Low	Circulation		Open. Rigid. Ceremonial. Palms
1 3	Architecture	Courtyard	MAMC	+/-	6,700	sf	0.2	ac	Formal	Yes	Medium	Gathering		Sparse
// 4	Engineering & Computer Science	Courtyard	MAMC	+/-	7,000	sf	0.2	ac	Informal	Yes	Low	Gathering	Circulation	
4 5		Courtyard	MAMC	+/-	23,800	sf	0.5	ac	Informal	Yes	Yes	Gathering	Circulation	Programmed. Movement
M6	Lake #2	Quad	MAMC	+/-	142,000	sf	3.3	ac	Informal	Yes	No	Gathering		Aestheic. Collection. Signficant.Passive.Palms
47	Science	Quad	MAMC	+/-	239,700	sf	5.5	ac	Formal	No	Yes	Circulation		Meandering. Active. Uneventful. Sparse. Emerging
M8	Balart Hall - North	Courtyard	MAMC	+/-	11,700	sf	0.3		Formal	Yes	No	Gathering		Sparse
1 19	Balart Hall - South	Courtyard	MAMC	+/-	11,500	sf	0.3		Formal	Yes	No	Gathering		Shaded
W10	Main	Quad	MAMC	+/-	102,800	sf	2.4	ac	Informal	No	Yes	Gathering	Circulation	Flexible.Heart.Tree cover.
W11	Central Courtyard	Courtyard	MAMC	+/-	183,000	sf	4.2	ac	Informal	Yes	Yes	Gathering		Active.Vegetation. Hardscape. Rigid.Flexible.
W12	Graham Promenade	Courtyard	MAMC	+/-	36,000	sf	8.0		Formal	Yes		Circulation	Gathering	
M13	Panther Village / Housing	Quad	MAMC	+/-	159,200	sf	3.7	ac	Formal	Yes		Gathering		Open.Flexible
M14		Quad	MAMC	+/-	123,200	sf	2.8	ac	Formal	Yes		Circulation		Sculpture.Flexible.Movement
31	Main	Quad	BBC	+/-	142,500	sf	3.3	ac	Informal	Yes		Gathering		Shaded. Under-utilized
32	Academic One	Courtyard	BBC	+/-	15,000	sf	0.3	ac	Formal	Yes		Gathering	Circulation	Shaded. Movement. Meeting. Pleasing. Simple
33	Hospitality Management	Courtyard	BBC	+/-	7,500	sf	0.2	ac	Formal	Yes		Gathering		Open.

2.10 Sustainability - Assessment:

- Energy use
- Compact development
- Promoting density
- Maintaining open space to allow percolation / level of permeability
- Shading (heat island effect) minimize surface parking, extensive hardscapes
- Tree cover creating an island within the urban context
- Water reuse

2.11 Wayfinding – Assessment:

- Legibility of the place
 - Identification of the Avenues
 - Strengthen primary circulation routes
 - Use of similar materials
- Signage

Item No.	Description											
2.12	Design Guideline Districts – Assessment:											
	Proposal for identifying districts for classification of architectural guidelines was discussed. It was agreed to provide general design guideline criteria for entire campus with an emphasis on defining open spaces such as edges, avenues, quads and courtyards. Criteria may include the following:											
	Connectivity											
	• Use											
	Scale											
	Materials											
	Height											
	Identity											
	Building Types											
	Building Performance											
	Analysis will inform guidelines to address the challenge of creating consistency with existing and new building designs within the urban and landscape context.											
2.13	Analysis Summary;											
	The development of the axis as more than just a line on a plan Organizing element on campus Key pedestrian linkage between Buildings/Open Spaces/Districts Creates a sense of place / identity unique to each campus											
	Exterior campus spaces											
	 Can serve as focal points for informal gathering, encounters and academic use Can become memorable spaces linked to the identity of the university 											
	The physical relationship of the campus edges conditions impacts the surrounding communities											
	 Can be enhanced to identify the University Can spur redevelopment / investment 											
	 The development of Districts will be a substructure only Unique sense of place while allowing for flexibility Unified through the landscape and urban design context Building heights and adjacencies 											
2.14	Next Steps: Work Session #3-Focus Group Meeting on December 3, 2012,4:00pm-6:00pm											

End of Work Session #2 FOCUS GROUP 3 - Urban, Architectural & Landscape Design Guidelines **Meeting Minutes**

The foregoing constitutes our understanding of matters discussed and conclusions reached. Other participants are requested to review these items and advise the originator in writing of any errors or omissions.