

UniversityCity Prosperity Project BT-904

Request for Design-Build Proposals

Addendum 7

July 20, 2015

Notice is hereby given to Short-Listed Design-Build Firms of the following changes to the above-referenced BID/RFP:

You attention is called to this written addendum dated July 20, 2015 which makes the changes indicated to previously issued documents, drawings, criteria, exhibits and other components of the request for proposals..

Information in this addendum supersedes any information previously given, including earlier addenda, that may be in conflict.

Bidders/Proposers must acknowledge receipt of this Addendum by completing and returning to the Procurement Office, by no later than the time and date of the bid/proposal opening. **Failure to do so may subject the bidder/proposer to disqualification.**

_____ Bidder/Proposer

_____ Address

_____ Submitted by (Signature)

NOTICE: Pursuant to Florida Board of Governors Rule 14.023, any qualified applicant who is adversely affected by the University's decision may file a written notice of protest within 72 hours after receipt of this notice. Failure to file a notice of protest within the time prescribed in Florida Board of Governors Regulation 14.023 shall constitute a waiver of the right to protest proceedings.

Item 1 – Question Regarding Schedule Received June 9, 2015 - Change to Request for Proposal

“SCHEDULE OF EVENTS”

Question – “Based on the revised Schedule of Events received in Addendum No. 4, the Technical Proposal is due almost six weeks prior to the due date of the Price Proposal. In order to provide the most refined design without exceeding the maximum price, will the owner consider extending the technical proposal due date (extend Technical Proposal Submittal date by 4 weeks) while holding the Price Proposal Submittal Date?”

Response to Item 1 – *Adequate time for complete review of Technical Proposals is required, and there should be some time to allow for clarifications that may be required prior to submission of Price Proposals. FIU believes that 5 to 6 weeks is needed. It is not possible to extend the due date for Technical Proposals without also postponing the due date for Price Proposals. After examining the overall Schedule FIU, with the concurrence of FDOT and FHWA, has decided to amend the Schedule of Events. The Schedule of Events issued as part of Addendum 4 is hereby revised again as indicated on the tabular “Schedule of Events” attached as page 4 of this Addendum. Note that additional changes to the Schedule are being made including complete deletion of the events dated 9-07-2015 and 9-15-2015 indicated by strike through (~~abcde fghij~~) and a change in the wording for the event now dated 10-15-2015.*

Item 2 – Question Regarding Exceeding Maximum Price Received June 9, 2015

Question – “On Page 2 of Exhibit G “Design-Build Maximum Price Request for Proposal” the document states “... the OWNER will reject as nonresponsive any Base Bid Price Proposal in excess of this Maximum Bid Price” while on page 8 of the same document states “A proposal may be found to be non-responsive by reasons, including, but not limited to,...exceeding the maximum price...”. Please provide clarification if a proposers submittal will be deemed non-responsive if the Maximum Price is exceeded? Will consideration be given to an iconic bridge design if the maximum price is exceeded?”

Response to Item 2 – *The two provisions cited are not in conflict. Any Base Bid Proposal in Excess of the Maximum Bid Price will be deemed non-responsive. As indicated on Pages 8 and 9 of 51 there are other reasons besides price that a proposal may be deemed to be non-responsive.*

Item 3 – Question Regarding Width of Bridge Received June 9, 2015

Question - Our interpretation of the Design Criteria and the renderings shown in Appendix A is that the 20 feet minimum pedestrian bridge width requirement is not required to be continuous (meaning no obstructions or barriers can divided the 20 feet minimum width pathway). Please confirm that the “20 feet total clear width” can be subdivided into two (2) 10 foot minimum width walkways and still satisfy the design criteria as shown in Appendix A of the Design Criteria.

Response to Item 3 – *The 20-foot minimum clear width may be subdivided into two (2) 10-foot minimum clear width walkways.*

Item 4 – Question Regarding Addendum #6 Received July 13, 2015

Question/Statement- “FIU’s response to question 3f discusses posting the geotechnical and survey information requested on the Project Website. FIU also informs the Design Builder that the information was prepared for the “...Brothers to the Rescue Memorial Plaza – not for this UniversityCity Prosperity Project” and that “the Design-Build firms shall make their own assessment of the relevance of this information.” We have reviewed the information and are concerned that none of the data is in the project footprint. In fact, all of the data is on the east side of the existing 109th Street bridge. SFWMD’s November 6, 2014 letter requires cross sections at the proposed bridge site. Please provide the requested information for the proposed project site. ”

Response to Item 4 – FIU posted all information that it has available regarding the Project Site, including the canal, on the Project Website here <http://facilities.fiu.edu/projects/BT-904.htm> at the time of Addendum #6. As part of their completion of Construction Documents and Permit Applications the Design-Builder will be expected to commission surveys and reports on geo-technical conditions as required for them to design, permit and complete construction of the Project.

Item 5 – Question Regarding Project Website Received July 13, 2015

Statement/Request. “The ‘Project Schedule of Values Form’ has a red ‘Pending’ next to it. Please provide the final Project Schedule of Values Form.”

Response to Item 5 – A Sample Schedule of Values Form will be posted on the project website in conjunction with the posting of this Addendum. Note that the actual Schedule of Values for the Project must be approved by Owner and will be subject to review and approval by FDOT and FHWA. Some alterations to the format shown in the Sample may be required.

Schedule of Events (Revised 07-20-2015)

Date	Event
6-30-2014	Advertisement requesting Qualifications and completion of the Design Build Qualifications Supplement (DBQS). The entire RFP package will also be published on the University website.
7-30-2014	DBQS Submittal for Phase I of the procurement process due in OWNER Office by 4:00 pm local time
8-13-2014	Selection Committee meets to review and score DBQS
8-27-2014	Notification to Responsive Design-Build Firms of the DBQS scores and Shortlist Posting
9-1-2014	Phase II of the procurement process begins for Short-Listed Design-Build firms
9-15-2014	Written Questions for Pre-Proposal meeting due to OWNER
9-16-2014	Pre-proposal meeting at time (TBD) local time in FIU MMC, CSC 1123 11555 SW 17 th Street Miami, FL 33199. All impacted Utility Agency/Owners are to be invited to the mandatory Pre-Proposal meeting.
1-15-2015	Written Questions for Second Pre-Proposal meeting due to OWNER
1-22-2015	Second Pre-proposal meeting at time (TBD) local time in FIU MMC, CSC 1123 11555 SW 17 th Street Miami, FL 33199.
6-15-2015	Final deadline for submission of requests for Design Exceptions or Design Variations
7-16-2015	Deadline for submittal of questions, for which a response is assured, prior to the submission of the Design-Build Technical Proposal. All questions shall be submitted to the Pre-Bid Q&A website.
7-23-2015	Deadline for the OWNER to post responses to the questions submitted by the Design-Build Firms prior to the submittal of the Design-Build Technical Proposal.
8-20-2015	Design-Build Technical Proposals due in OWNER Office by 4:00 pm local time
8-27-2015	Selection Committee independently receives and commences review of the Design-Build Technical Proposals
9-07-2015	Deadline for submittal of questions, for which a response is assured, prior to the submission of the Bid Price Proposal. All questions shall be submitted to the Pre-Bid Q&A website.
9-15-2015	Deadline for the OWNER to post responses to the questions submitted by the Design-Build Firms prior to the submittal of the Design-Build Bid Price Proposal.
9-22-2015	Deadline for OWNER to request clarifications regarding the Technical Proposals
9-30-2015	Design-Build Bid Price Proposal due in OWNER Office by 4:00 pm local time
10-01-2015	Design-Build Interviews before Selection Committee (Design build firms to be notified of their individual interview times). Selection Committee meeting to score Design-Build firms based upon the Technical Proposal and Interview.
10-01-2015	Selection Committee opens bid/price proposals at (The Announced Hour), calculates adjusted scores, and ranks firms
10-15-2015	OWNER announces confirms selection of winning firm and submits documentation to FHWA/FDOT for concurrence.
11-19-2015	Posting of the OWNER's intended decision to Award – Concurred by FHWA/FDOT
11-25-2015	Notice of Award to Design-Build Firm by OWNER
12-17-2015	Contract Execution and Notice to Proceed