

UniversityCity Prosperity Project BT-904

Request for Design-Build Proposals

Addendum 10

September 17, 2015

Notice is hereby given to Short-Listed Design-Build Firms of the following changes to the above-referenced BID/RFP:

Your attention is called to this written addendum dated September 17, 2015 which makes the changes indicated to previously issued documents, drawings, criteria, exhibits and other components of the request for proposals.

Information in this addendum supersedes any information previously given, including earlier addenda, that may be in conflict.

Bidders/Proposers must acknowledge receipt of this Addendum by completing and returning to the Procurement Office, by no later than the time and date of the bid/proposal opening. **Failure to do so may subject the bidder/proposer to disqualification.**

_____ Bidder/Proposer

_____ Address

_____ Submitted by (Signature)

NOTICE: Pursuant to Florida Board of Governors Rule 14.023, any qualified applicant who is adversely affected by the University's decision may file a written notice of protest within 72 hours after receipt of this notice. Failure to file a notice of protest within the time prescribed in Florida Board of Governors Regulation 14.023 shall constitute a waiver of the right to protest proceedings.

The following six Questions/Statements were received September 2, 2015:

Item 1 – Question Regarding Item 1 in Addendum #9

Question – “The Owner’s answer appears to allow the price proposal to be unbalanced if the Maximum Bid Price is exceeded for the Base Bid scope. If the price for the Base Bid portion of the proposal exceeds the Maximum Bid Price will the Owner allow the amount that exceeds the Maximum Bid Price to be carried in the Additive Alternate #1 price? If so, please revise the Design-Build Price Proposal form to reflect this understanding.”

Response to Item 1:

No. The carryover of costs for work that is part of the Base Bid Scope into Additive Alternate #1 is not permitted. The work identified as being in the Base Bid in the RFP and Addenda must not exceed the Maximum Bid Price.

Item 2 – Question Regarding Item 1 in Addendum #9

Statement – “Please confirm that the Design-Build Firm could include the cost for relocating the FPL transmission line in Additive Alternate #1.”

Response to Item 2:

Costs payable to FPL and FPL’s subcontractors for relocating high-voltage transmission lines on the south side of SW 8th Street necessary to provide clearance for the proposed pedestrian bridge are hereby removed completely from the scope of the Design-Build Project.

Design-Build Firms shall include in the Base Bid only those costs which the Design-Build Firm and its subcontractors are expected to have related to the FPL work such as reasonable coordination, scheduling adjustments, providing drawings clarifying the configuration of the bridge as well as allowing access and clearances required for FPL and its subcontractors to do the work. Costs payable to FPL and its subcontractors including their maintenance of traffic costs and a maximum of four scheduled transmission line de-energizations to allow for bridge construction/erection will be by FIU or others under a separate contract. This provision supersedes language in the first bullet of “Section 5.15 Utilities” in the “FIU-Pedestrian Bridge Design Criteria 2015-04.”

Item 3 – Question Regarding Item 1 in Addendum #9

Statement – “Please confirm that the Design-Build Firm could include the cost for all non-bridge civil, hardscape and landscaping scope north of SW 8th Street in Additive Alternate #1.”

Response to Item 3:

*No. All work north of SW 8th Street indicated in the RFP and Addendum as being in the Base Bid scope must remain in the Base Bid and is **not** part of Additive Alternate #1.*

Item 4 – Question Regarding Item 1 in Addendum #9

Please confirm that the Design-Build Firm could include the cost for all non-bridge civil, hardscape and landscaping scope to be constructed south of SW 8th Street in the Additive Alternate #1.

Response to Item 4:

The lines indicating the boundaries of Additive Alternate #1 have been revised and landscape and hardscape work along the east and south side of PG-4 has been made part of Additive Alternate #1. See revised Conceptual Plan Drawings Sheets 1, 12, 13 and 17 indicated as Revision 2 dated 09/15.

Item 5 – Question Regarding Item 1 in Addendum #9

Please confirm that the canal improvements directed by SFWMD could be included in Additive Alternate #1.

Response to Item 5:

No. All costs for the canal improvements required by SFWMD for this project in accordance with the Project Conceptual Plans, Design Criteria and Addenda are to be included in the Base Bid.

Item 6 – Question Regarding Item 1 in Addendum #9

Please confirm that the AIMS structure and associated civil work could be included in Additive Alternate #1.

Response to Item 6:

No. All costs for the AIMS structure and associated civil work shown east of PG5 are to be included in the Base Bid.

Item 7 – Members of the Selection Committee and RFP Technical Proposal Submittal Copies

Douglas Robinson, formerly with Miami-Dade County Transit, is no longer a member of the Selection Committee for this Project. Revise Page 46 of 51 of the FIU-DB-RFP-Maxprice “B. Submittal Requirements” to require submission of one (1) Original, one (1) CD/DVD and seven (7) copies of the Technical Proposal. This is a reduction of the number of copies from eight to seven.